

Since Japan has given "prosecution monopoly right" to prosecutors, trial can not be made as a criminal case unless the prosecution accepts "indictment" or "accusation letter".

"What crime does not come to mind and is not doing criminal acts"

Japan criminalized foreigners who illegally worked against "illegal employment" under Article 70 of the Immigration Control Act "illegal employment crime" and entrepreneurs who let illegal work work under Article 2 of the Immigration Act 73 "Promotion of illegal employment By criminalizing both of them in criminal cases, it is possible to crack down on both sides by equally criminalizing both of them, "equality under the law" of the Constitution of Japan, "international law" which prohibits arbitrary disposal of foreigners (citizenship and political rights We are legislating not to violate the International Covenant on International Relations.

However, in reality, we do not dispose of illegal workers as "illegal employment promotion crime", criminalize only illegal foreigners who are illegally employed in "illegal employment crime" and expel them from foreign countries.

This is contrary to international law which prohibited foreign discrimination arbitrarily. It is contrary to equality under the law of the Constitution of Japan.

If you do not dispose of businesses with "illegal employment promotion crime" that illegally worked, foreign nationals who were made illegally worked are also innocent (innocent) the logic of law. If so, of course, there is no one to help anyone to work illegally.

In the case that occurred in 2010, I did a criminal act more viciously.

We did not dispose of companies that illegally worked illegally in "illegal employment promotion crime", but only foreigners who illegally worked were fined for "illegal working crimes" and were expelled from abroad,

In order to make it appear as though it seems to have dispose equally, instead of a business operator of "illegal employment promotion crime", in order to make it appear as if it was dispensed evenly, a third party was criminalized, and a foreigner who worked illegally was fined for fine It was a "imprisonment punishment" rather than expelling it abroad.